### Lake Waynoka General Reserve Value Evaluation

January 2017

Agenda

Introduction & Purpose

Final results

Evaluation input

5 Step Process

Detail - Guidelines and Assumptions

Detail results - Top Risks Considered

Detail - Lake Waynoka Earthen Dam Research Paper

Wrap up Summary

N

N

# General Reserve Evaluation

Develop a purpose - shared with Board

Interview WPOA membership

Review internet for POA risk evaluation issues

Develop a disciplined risk evaluation process

Choose & inform committee of the process

Gather Information - legal statistics, WPOA insurance coverage, other Ohio lake community risk issues, earthen dam issues in Ohio

ယ

# General Reserve Evaluation

### Process - 5 Steps

- Rank the risks by probability and consequence. Identify external and internal risks
- H,M,L
- Develop mitigation descriptions, actions, and values
- Apply percentages of probability and seriousness to each mitigation cost

- Determine the earthen dam as a separate issue

5

#### O

#### Risk Evaluation Guidelines & Assumptions Pg 2/3

- If multiple risks happen at the same time, the possibility that more funds may be required.
- funds from capital assessment accounts. In emergency situations, the WPOA Board may appropriate
- As risk events occur resulting in a reduction of general reserve funds, the Board should determine a manner by which the funds repay the General Reserve to a required level with-in a predetermined amount of time.
- If a single risk event occurs, whether identified in the to the expense. analysis or not, the current General Reserve can be applied

### Risk Evaluation Guidelines & Assumptions Pg 1/3

- Risk reserve prepared using 65 risk topics applicable to Lake
- Top 19 picked that were the highest probability and /or most serious consequence to the community.
- The risks related to the WRWSD were not included as those risks are handled by the board.
- WPOA has an insurance policy that covers most liabilities. The deductible values are covered by WPOA reserve account. policy has insurance deductibles paid by the WPOA. These
- The WPOA Dam has limited insurance coverage. Downstream damage is covered but the Dam itself is NOT covered.

O

#### Risk Evaluation Guidelines & Assumptions Pg 3/3

- The risk values were determined by:
- 1. The insurance company assessment
- 2. Experience using WPOA history
- An amount identified as a best estimate based on experience gained from other Lake communities in Ohio,
- the basis will be updated for the WPOA board be monitored annually. As conditions change, Changing conditions in the community such as more or less risks, probability/consequence wil

*
<b>Evaluation</b> I
Detail
dol
9
risks) (
Summary
7

Item Description

Risk Max.

risk BURA

risk Y/N risk value P%

3

Risk Evaluation Detail (Top 19 risks) Summary 2/3

65

Soard defamation

<\$5,000

\$5000

\$625

25

50

Business loss -

\$209,000 \$132,000

Kestore V yr 614 GT basis

< 4 <

থা

80

admin blog

4

Tormado

\$1,770,000 \$177,000

\$15,045

10 85

26 0	12	11	9	00	7	ltem 0
Comm. Loss \$10,000 \$5,000	Regulation changes	Lake dispute	Playground accident	Employee Pisputes	Lawsuit	Description
\$10,000	000,01\$ 000,01\$	<\$5,000	<\$5,000	<\$5,000	<\$5,000	Max. Risk Value
\$5,000	\$10,000	<\$5,000 1/ yr	\$5,000	<\$5,000 \$10,000 2/γr	<\$5,000 \$10,000 2/yr	Total risk value
Best est	Best est	ľγr	Vyr	2/yr	2/yr	Total risk basis
z	Z	<	4	<	<	lnsr.
\$500	\$1,600	\$4,000	\$1,000	\$2,250	\$3,200	insr. Reduced Y/N risk value
50	80	50	50	50	80	P%
20	20	\$	\$	\$	40	2%

S

Execute Bi-laws

<\$5,000

\$5,000

Best est

< Z

\$1,600 \$5,000 \$5,280

50

3

4 00

contaminatio

Lake

\$100,00 \$100,00

Best est.

10

50

60

Execute fiduciary responsibility

<\$5,000 | \$200,00

Best

4

\$8,000

S

80

failure

notification

<\$5,000

\$5,000

Best est

4

\$500

S.

50

Election Failure

9

	E N	\$5	\$	ш 00	<b>W</b>	ü	Item
Total	Earthen Pam \$450,00(\$450,000 Leak/Failure	Marina Building fire	Maintenance \$175,000 \$19,000	Extra cold	Extra snow	Loss of insurance	Item Description
\$2754000	\$450,000	<\$5,000	\$175,000	\$10,000	\$10,000	1,770,00	Max. Risk Value
\$2754,000 \$1,179,000	\$450,000	<\$5,000 \$11,000	\$19,000	\$10,000 \$10,000	\$10,000 \$10,000	1,770,00\$177,000 Lg Blg	Total risk value
	See	¥ 7	<b>\$</b> \( \)	Best Lat	w	5 5	Total risk basis
	<	<	<	z	z	~	Insr.
\$5 <b>8400</b>	\$0	\$330	\$570	\$7,500	\$2,100	\$15,045	insr. Reduced Y/N risk value
	10	10	10	30 70	330	10 90	2%
	10 100	30	30	70	50	90	P% 0%

10

## **Earthen Dam Risk Assessment**

- Highest risk In the process of defining the necessary General Reserve for Lake Waynoka, we determined that the earthen Dam would be greatest risk. This risk has a low probability to occur, but a high consequence of impact to the community.
- Report The Earthen Dam research report was written to understand:
- What Dam failures happened in Ohio
- What did those communities do when the event happened
- What measures has Lake Waynoka taken to lower the risk
- What steps would the WPOA take if the event happened.
- The impact to the General Reserve

---

## Earthen Dam Risk Assessment

- It is very important that the Lake Waynoka Operations Manager and the WPOA board make timely decisions to restore the lake to normal conditions.
- The WPOA board has the responsibility of taking the necessary actions to restore the lake for the Homeowners association membership.
- The risk evaluation includes funds for an investigation, engineering solution, and construction contract preparation for the restoration of the Earthen Dam.

13

Funds for the construction of the Dam ARE NOT included in the General Reserve.

ಧ

#### General Reserve Evaluation

Finally ..... THE END

### General Reserve Evaluation

Summary

- Authorization by WPOA Board
   Process input information
- Use a professional process approach
   Address uninsured initial WPOA Earthen Dam
- General funding plan is already in place
- General Reserve funds are adequate

14

14

5

16